



Open Access Full Text Article



Research Article

A study to assess the knowledge and practice regarding sanitation and hygiene among women in parts of Delhi, India

Rahat Raza¹, Rashidulla Khan², Farah Ahmed³, Shaista Urooj², Noman Khan^{4*} Danish K Chishti⁴

1-Deputy Director In charge, RRIUM, New Delhi

2- Research Officer, RRIUM, New Delhi

3- Research Officer, CCRUM, New Delhi

4- Research Associate, RRIUM, New Delhi

Article Info:



Article History:

Received 24 June 2023

Reviewed 02 Aug 2023

Accepted 20 Aug 2023

Published 15 Sep 2023

Cite this article as:

Raza R, Khan R, Ahmed F, Urooj S, Khan N, Chishti DK. A study to assess the knowledge and practice regarding sanitation and hygiene among women in parts of Delhi, India, Journal of Drug Delivery and Therapeutics. 2023; 13(9):28-32

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22270/jddt.v13i9.6196>

*Address for Correspondence:

Dr Noman Khan, Research Officer, CCRUM, New Delhi. Email: drnomankhan2nov@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: Poor hygienic practices, inadequate water supply, and poor sanitary conditions play a major role in the spread of infectious diseases. So, safe drinking water and basic sanitation is of crucial importance to the prevention of health problems.

Objects: To study the knowledge, attitude, and practices related to drinking water and sanitation facilities among the urban slum population of different areas of Delhi.

Design: Open label, observational, non-randomized, community-based study.

Methodology: A cross-sectional study was designed to evaluate the knowledge of 297 females of different slum areas of Delhi regarding sanitation and hygiene, their attitude, and practices were collected using a previously validated questionnaire. The data obtained was analyzed on MS Office (Excel).

Major Outcome: Major Outcome: Findings of the study showed that 42% of the subjects had average knowledge and 75 % of the subjects followed unsafe practices on water, sanitation and hygiene.

Result: The study was conducted on 297 housewives having mean age as 34.14 years living mostly in joint family with 6000- 12000 monthly family income. 76.4% had toilet in their household but lack proper water disposal system.

Conclusion: Conclusion: The knowledge and practice on hygiene and sanitation among the households of slum areas of Delhi was found to be satisfactory. This study found significant association between knowledge, practice, age, education, occupation, family income per month. It was observed that young women had good knowledge however financial constrains rule the roost.

Keywords: Sanitation and hygiene; Knowledge; Attitude; Practices, WASH.

INTRODUCTION

Sanitation is very important for wellbeing and good health of the society. Unless there are proper and functional sanitation facilities that are compounded with right type of hygienic practices, recurrent incidences of water and sanitation related diseases are bound to reoccur¹. It is one of the basic determinants of quality of life and human development². The three imperative hygiene practices for reducing water borne diseases are safe fecal disposal, hand washing with disinfectant at critical times and finally safe treatment and storage of drinking water.

Globally around 1.7 billion (21%) people lack access to basic sanitation.^{3,4} Safe disposal of human excreta, maintenance of hygienic conditions by means garbage, industrial hazardous waste management, and waste water treatment and disposal are constituents of basic sanitation. Approximately, 2.3 billion (29%) do not have means to maintain basic hygiene. These

include soap and water for hand washing.⁵ Safe and sufficient (Water, Sanitation and Hygiene) WASH is vital in preventing numerous diseases such as trachoma, helminthes, schistosomiasis and diarrhoeal deaths. Over 1.7 billion people still do not have basic sanitation services, such as private toilets or latrines. About 45% of the household wastewater generated globally is still discharged without safe treatment.⁶

Baseline data reflecting current sanitation, hygiene behavior and practices in Delhi is very scarce. The lack of appropriate information on knowledge and practices of sanitation and hygiene is an impediment to identify priority needs. Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices (KAP) study is seen as the most viable way of obtaining updated information on hygiene behavior and practices in the society. Thus, present study was conducted to obtain baseline information on the existing knowledge, attitude and practices in relation to water sanitation and hygiene in target population.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present cross sectional study was conducted in five (5) urban slums of South East Delhi, namely 1) Madangir, 2) Khanpur, 3) Kalu Sarai, 4)Tirlokpur and 5)Gaddha colony, Madanpur khaddar via questionnaire based household survey under Schedule Cast Sub Plan & Tribal Sub Plan (SCSP & TSP) Programme, stationed at Regional Research Institute of Unani Medicine (RRIUM), New Delhi. This study was conducted on 297-women from 480 households, between May 2022 to April 2023. The data was collected through door-to-door survey.

All 20-65 years old married female residing in the studied area who are willing to participate in the study were included in the study. Whereas individuals refusing to take part in the study or those visiting the area were excluded. Similarly, locked houses were excluded after three visits.

The sample size was 297; the houses were selected using convenient sampling.

Data collection Questionnaire

Data was collected using structured previously validated questionnaire prepared at Central Council for Research in Unani Medicine (CCRUM) headquarters, New Delhi: The questionnaire had 3 sections

Section 1- This was pertaining to demographic details

Section 2- This was regarding knowledge on water, sanitation and hygiene.

Section3-Comprised of questions to assess the practices regarding sanitation and hygiene like water treatment practices, water storage practices, etc.

The questionnaire comprises of 30 multiple choice questions. Each correct option was scored one and each wrong option were scored zero. Knowledge score was arbitrarily classified as good knowledge: 21-30, average knowledge: 11-20, and poor knowledge: 0-10. The questionnaire was translated in local language. Reliability of knowledge questionnaire was obtained by split half method ($r=0.93$). All items had 100% agreements with modification of some statements.

Operational definitions

Knowledge: Information that the target population has about sanitation and hygiene-related issues.

Attitude: Attitude is the way a person views something or tends to behave towards it. In context of the present study, attitude refers to what the target population feels or believes about the sanitation and hygiene-related issues.

Practice: Refers to the ways in which people demonstrate their knowledge and attitudes through their actions.

Data collection process

Administrative permission and informed consent were obtained from each subject and anonymity was maintained. Questionnaire was duly filled by the surveying officer and practices were enquired and rated. The data was recorded in the questionnaire. Data obtained were coded and analyzed. Frequency, percentage and association were obtained. MS Office (Excel) was used for data analysis.

RESULTS

Information related to home and surroundings

Majority of the individuals lived in pucca house with less than 10 members in all the houses. Majority of them used open drainage (54.8%) method for waste water disposal. All the subjects used Delhi Jal Board (DJB) water which was stationed within 600 meters from their houses and had continuous water supply throughout the year. Majority of the subjects (97.7%) had latrines in their house however 51.3% had no knowledge on WASH. Of those who had knowledge, (15 %) subjects gained it from their friends and relatives. (Table 2)

Description of knowledge score on water, sanitation and hygiene

The study findings revealed that 40% had good knowledge, 42% had average knowledge and 18% had poor knowledge on WASH. (Table 1)

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of samples n=297

| Demographic variables | Frequency (f) | Percentage (%) |
|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Age (in years) | | |
| 20-45 | 212 | 71.3 |
| 46-60 | 80 | 26.9 |
| Above 60 | 5 | 1.6 |
| Marital status | | |
| Married | 292 | 98.3 |
| Unmarried | 5 | 1.6 |
| Education | | |
| Illiterate | 06 | 0.02 |
| Matriculate | 109 | 36.7 |
| Primary school | 110 | 37 |
| High school | 31 | 10.4 |
| Graduate | 41 | 13.8 |
| Religion | | |
| Hindu | 250 | 84 |
| Muslim | 30 | 10.1 |
| Sikh | 17 | 5.7 |
| Family income per month | | |
| 1000 - 5000 | 13 | 4.3 |
| 6000 - 12000 | 192 | 65.6 |
| 13000 - 25000 | 57 | 21.0 |
| Above 26000 | 35 | 11.7 |

Description of practices on water sanitation and hygiene

Most of the subjects use handled jug to take water from water storing drum. Most of the toilets are well ventilated, majority

of the subjects cleaned water storing vessel weekly. Majority practiced hand washing with soap and water after defecation

Table 2: Description of practices related to water, sanitation and hygiene

| Variable | Frequency (f) | % |
|--|---------------|------|
| Uses handled jug for taking water from drum | | |
| Yes | 198 | 66.6 |
| No | 99 | 33.3 |
| Use of boiled/ filtered water for drinking | | |
| Yes | 92 | 30 |
| No | 205 | 69 |
| Ventilation of sanitary toilet | | |
| Yes | 203 | 68.3 |
| No | 94 | 31.7 |

Table 3: Information related to home and surrounding n=297

| Variables | Frequency (f) | Percentage (%) |
|--|---------------|----------------|
| Housing | | |
| Kachcha house | 2 | 6.7 |
| Pacca house | 295 | 99.3 |
| Number of people in Household | | |
| Less than 5 | 214 | 72 |
| 5-10 | 83 | 27.9 |
| Method of water disposal | | |
| Open | 160 | 53.8 |
| Closed | 105 | 35.3 |
| No drain | 32 | 10.8 |
| Distance of water source from the shelter | | |
| Less than 200 m | 71 | 23.9 |
| 200-400 m | 128 | 43.0 |
| 400 m - 700 m | 98 | 32.9 |
| Presence of latrine | | |
| Yes | 227 | 76.4 |
| No | 70 | 28.5 |

Description of hand hygiene practice

The study found that majority of the subjects performed unskilled hand washing.

Table 4: Information showing knowledge in categories.i.e. Good, Average and Poor for different variables n=297

| Variables | Good knowledge | Average knowledge | poor knowledge |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| 1. Age in years | | | |
| 20-45 | 120 | 67 | 23 |
| 46-65 | 8 | 21 | 51 |
| Above 65 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| 2. Education | | | |
| Illiterate | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| Matriculate | 21 | 49 | 39 |
| Primary school | 34 | 47 | 29 |
| High school | 11 | 20 | 0 |
| Graduate | 35 | 6 | 0 |
| 3. Occupation | | | |
| Housewife | 49 | 168 | 2 |
| Skilled professional | 13 | 19 | 0 |
| Unskilled professional | 0 | 30 | 16 |
| 4. Type of family | | | |
| Nuclear | 25 | 67 | 0 |
| Joint | 49 | 149 | 7 |
| 5. Family income per month | | | |
| 3000-5000 | 10 | 66 | 2 |
| 6000-12000 | 33 | 99 | 16 |
| 13000-25000 | 24 | 20 | 6 |
| Above 26000 | 4 | 15 | 2 |

DISCUSSION

Demography

Study found that most of the women (43.7%) were in the age group of 20 – 45 years and majority of them (98.9%) (84.1%) (73.7%) were married hindu housewives with a family income between 6000 - 12000 rupees per month. Most of them (39%) had primary education and lived in joint family. (table 1)

Source of water

Findings of the present study showed that majority (66.3%) of the women used to store water in water tank which were used chemical drums made of plastic and used handled jug to dispense this water. This is in concordance with the study conducted by Reshma et al. wherein it was observed that most of the subjects (66.3%) used handled jug to take water from water storing drum. It was also observed that most of them (70%) filtered or boiled this water.¹⁰ However in our study the women used untreated water for drinking. According to Reshma et al. 2016 women had to travel 100 feet from their homes to draw water from wells¹⁰ whereas in our study women travelled less than 400m to transport water from DJB tap or water tanker. This is in comparison to the survey conducted by WASH India in 2008 wherein it was found that

majority (88%) of the population in India had access to proper source of drinking water. One fourth of the population has water availability in their household premises.⁷ However UNICEF states that worldwide, 2.2 billion people still lack access to safe drinking water.⁸ This is very important since water can be a vehicle for transmission of feco-oral infections due to fecal contamination of water.⁹

Sanitation

In our study majority of the respondents (76.4%) had toilet in their household which was well ventilated. (table 3). The findings of the present study were supported by cross sectional study conducted by Resha et al. 2016 demonstrated that majority (97.7%) of the household had well ventilated (68.3 %) toilet. Survey by water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) in India (2008) estimated that most of the India's population (69%) did not use proper sanitation. Over 50% of the India's population defecated in the open field.⁷

The present study showed that the urban slums lack proper water disposal system and the water waste runs in shallow open channels (53.8%) which overflow and cause water stagnation pretty often.

Knowledge

The study revealed that majority (40.4%) of the young women in the age group of 20-45 years had good knowledge of hygiene and sanitation. However due to poor financial resources could not implement them. Only 33.3% had income in the range of 6000-12000 per month in a family of around five members.¹¹ Most (16.4%) of them were matriculate and had average knowledge.¹² Majority (56.5%) of them were housewives residing in joint families (50.1%) (table 4)

Practice

Present study showed that all the houses stored water in closed container. The finding is supported by cross sectional study conducted by A I Mohammed et al on Access to safe drinking water and availability of environmental sanitation facilities among Dukem town households in Ethiopia. The study demonstrated that majority (82.6%) of the women used to fetch water, from a distance less than 200m, 93.2% covered their water container and 70.1% had toilet in their household¹³.

Our study showed that 66.6% of the household use handled jugs to dispense water. According to our study majority of the subjects (72.7%) cleaned their water storing vessel weekly. This is contrast to the study by Reshma et al wherein majority of the subjects (83.7%) cleaned their water storing vessel daily.⁷

CONCLUSION

The study was conducted on 297 females, housewives having mean age 34.14 living mostly in joint family with 6000- 12000 monthly family income. The findings of the study showed that majority of individuals had average knowledge and practiced unsafe practices on water, sanitation and hygiene. Study found significant association between knowledge, practice, age, education, occupation, family income per month. It was observed that young women had good knowledge however financial constraints rule the roost. The study inferred that portable drinking water is provided by the government however disposal of waste still remains a major problem..

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank all our study participants for giving their valuable time to participate in the study and provided valuable information.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

There is no conflict of interest

REFERENCES

1. Chariar VM, Sakthivel RS (2011) Ecological sanitation practitioner's handbook. New Delhi: UNICEF.
2. Sheethal MP, Shashikantha SK. A cross-sectional study on the coverage and utilization of sanitary latrine in rural field practice area of a tertiary care hospital in Southern Karnataka, India. *Int J Community Med Public Health* 2016; 3:1540-1543. <https://doi.org/10.18203/2394-6040.ijcmph20161624>
3. World Health Organization and UNICEF. Progress on Household Drinking Water, Sanitation and Hygiene 2000-2020: Five Years Into the SDGs [PDF - 164 pages]. 2021. Accessed on 11th Aug 2023
4. U.S. Census Bureau. U.S. and World Population Clock. 2017. UN. Accessed on 11th Aug 2023
5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases (NCEZID), Division of Foodborne, Waterborne, and Environmental Diseases at CDC; December 9, 2021 Accessed on 11th Aug 2023
6. World Health Organization (2016) Water, sanitation and hygiene. UNICEF. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/sanitation> last reviewed 11th Aug 2023
7. World Water Council. Water Supply and Sanitation. Available from: www.worldwatercouncil.org Retrieved on 2/10/2013.
8. Gupta P. Text book of preventive and social medicine. New Delhi: CBS publishers and distributors;2007.
9. Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH), Safe water, toilets and good hygiene keep children alive and healthy; <https://www.unicef.org/wash>; Accessed on 12th August 2023
10. Reshma , Mamatha S. Pai & Manjula; A Descriptive Study to Assess the Knowledge and Practice Regarding Water, Sanitation and Hygiene among Women in Selected Villages of Udupi District; NUJHS 2016; 6(1).
11. Zhang, S., Xiang, W. Income gradient in health-related quality of life - the role of social networking time. *Int J Equity Health* 18, 44 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-019-0942-1> PMid:30876427 PMCid:PMC6419834
12. Mushota, O., Mathur, A. & Pathak, A. Effect of school-based educational water, sanitation, and hygiene intervention on student's knowledge in a resource-limited setting. *BMC Public Health* 2021; 21:2258. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-12279-2> PMid:34895193 PMCid:PMC8666030
13. Environmental sanitation facilities among Dukem town Households in Ethiopia. *Journal of Human Ecology*. 2013; 41(2) Available from: [http://www.krepublishers.com/02-Journals/JHE/JHE41-0-000-13-Web/JHE-41-2-000-13-Abst-PDF/JHE-41-2-131-13-2330-Hoque-M-E/JHE-41-2-131-13-2330-Hoque-M-E-Tx\[5\].pmd.pdf](http://www.krepublishers.com/02-Journals/JHE/JHE41-0-000-13-Web/JHE-41-2-000-13-Abst-PDF/JHE-41-2-131-13-2330-Hoque-M-E/JHE-41-2-131-13-2330-Hoque-M-E-Tx[5].pmd.pdf)