Available online on 15.08.2021 at <http://jddtonline.info>

Journal of Drug Delivery and Therapeutics

Open Access to Pharmaceutical and Medical Research

Copyright © 2021 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the CC BY-NC 4.0 which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited

Open Access Full Text Article

Review Article

The Role of Antiplatelet agents in ischemic events

Sara Shreen *, Mohammed Baleeqh Uddin , Mir Salman Ali, Zoha Sultana

Department of Pharmacy, practice Deccan School of Pharmacy, Hyderabad, India

Article Info:

Article History:

Received 11 May 2021
Reviewed 04 July 2021
Accepted 21 July 2021
Published 15 August 2021

Cite this article as:

Shreen S, Baleeqh Uddin M, Ali MS, Sultana Z, The Role of Antiplatelet agents in ischemic events, Journal of Drug Delivery and Therapeutics. 2021; 11(4-S):187-194

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22270/jddt.v11i4-S.4926>

Abstract

Antiplatelet treatment could be a key in pharmacological treatment for avoidance of coronary heart disease (CHD) and stroke. Depending on sign, term of antiplatelet monotherapy or double treatment is shifted. Antiplatelet treatment is shown to avoid a repeat of cardiovascular occasion, in any case, expanded term of dual antiplatelet treatment (DAPT) related with expanded hazard of bleeding. Unstable angina happens due to partially or totally block of the blood coronary blood vessel driving to coronary ischaemia. Intense coronary infection happens due to drawn out coronary ischaemia which causes coronary diseases.

Keywords: dual antiplatelet treatment (DAPT), coronary heart disease (CHD) and stroke.

*Address for Correspondence:

Sara Shreen, Department of Pharmacy, practice Deccan School of Pharmacy, Hyderabad, India

INTRODUCTION

Antiplatelet therapy and cardiovascular diseases

Antiplatelet treatment could be a key in pharmacological treatment for avoidance of coronary heart disease (CHD) and stroke. Depending on sign, term of antiplatelet monotherapy or double treatment is shifted. Antiplatelet treatment is shown to avoid a repeat of cardiovascular occasion, in any case, expanded term of dual antiplatelet treatment (DAPT) related with expanded hazard of bleeding. Besides, antiplatelet treatment itself is known to relate with antagonistic occasions like gastrointestinal and non-gastrointestinal dying, intracranial haemorrhage (ICH) and unfavorably susceptible responses. Hence, this leads to untimely suspension of antiplatelet treatment which afterward partners with expanded chance of cardiovascular occasion after antiplatelet cessation.¹

Cardiovascular diseases

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is characterized by World Health Organisation (WHO) as any clutter related to the heart and blood vessels. It is the foremost serious and common illness within the world. CVD clutters incorporate CHD, stroke (cerebrovascular illness), hypertension, fringe course illness, rheumatic heart infection, innate heart infection and heart disappointment. In 2015, CVD was the driving cause of passing from non-communicable illnesses. It is assessed that around 17.9 million individuals kicked the bucket due to CVD in 2015. The number of worldwide passings due to cardiovascular illness expanded by 12.5%

between 2005 and 2015. Circulatory illness and CVD accounts for 11.8% of worldwide DALY.²

ANTIPLATELET THERAPY

Platelets give the starting hemostatic plug at locales of vascular harm. They moreover take part in obsessive thromboses that lead to myocardial localized necrosis, stroke, and fringe vascular thromboses. Powerful inhibitors of platelet work have been created in later a long time. These drugs act by discrete components, and in this way in combination their impacts are added substance or indeed synergistic. Their accessibility has driven to a insurgency in cardiovascular pharmaceutical, whereby angioplasty and vascular stenting of injuries presently is attainable with moo rates of restenosis and thrombosis when compelling platelet hindrance is utilized. Platelets play an critical part in haemostasis and thrombosis. There are numerous ponders to bolster that restraint of platelet accumulation essentially decrease mortality and dismalness in ischaemic atherothrombotic occasions.

In this manner, antiplatelet may be a key in pharmacological treatment and anticipation of CHD and cerebrovascular infection. The current antiplatelet operators accessible incorporate acetylsalicylic corrosive (Aspirin); thienopyridines (ticlopidine, clopidogrel, and prasugrel); reversible P2Y₁₂ receptor enemies (ticagrelor, cangrelor) and dipyridamole. In this segment, we are progressing to examine the foundation of antiplatelet improvement, their component of activity, their signs and their common adverse impacts.³

Aspirin

The primary antiplatelet medicate is acetylsalicylic corrosive or commonly known as Aspirin. Aspirin medicine was to begin with created in 1897 as an pain relieving and antipyretic operator⁴. In 1968, found that Aspirin medicine may drag out dying time and hindered platelet accumulation and along these lines few a long time afterward others had found the atomic instrument of action of Aspirin. Since the primary presentation of antiplatelet, a few modern antiplatelet specialists have been created and promoted.

Processes counting thrombosis, aggravation, wound recuperating, and hypersensitivity are tweaked by oxygenated metabolites of arachidonate and related polyunsaturated greasy acids that are collectively named eicosanoids. Impedances with the union of eicosanoids is the premise for the impacts of numerous helpful specialists, counting analgesics, antiinflammatory drugs, and antithrombotic specialists. In platelets, the major cyclooxygenase item is thromboxane A₂, a labile inducer of platelet accumulation and a strong vasoconstrictor.

Aspirin blocks generation of thromboxane A₂ by acetylating a serine build-up close the dynamic location of platelet cyclooxygenase (COX-1), the protein that produces the cyclic endoperoxide antecedent of thromboxane A₂. Since platelets don't synthesize unused proteins, the activity of Aspirin on platelet cyclooxygenase is lasting, enduring for the life of the platelet (7 to 10 days). Hence, rehashed measurements of Aspirin deliver an aggregate impact on platelet work. Total inactivation of platelet COX-1 is accomplished when 160 mg of aspirin is taken every day.

Subsequently, aspirin is maximally compelling as an antithrombotic specialist at dosages much lower than those required for other activities of the drug. Various trials demonstrate that aspirin, when utilized as an antithrombotic medicate, is maximally compelling at dosages of 50 to 320 mg per day⁵. Higher doses don't progress adequacy; besides, they possibly are less effective since of restraint of prostacyclin generation, which can be to a great extent saved by utilizing lower doses of Aspirin. Higher dosages moreover increment harmfulness, particularly dying.⁶

Antiplatelet drugs avoid thrombus arrangement and decrease platelet accumulation. Components of the platelet actuation location and location of activity of antiplatelet operators. Aspirin has appeared irreversible antiplatelet action by diminishing generation of TxA₂ through hindrance of the chemical cyclooxygenase 1 (COX-1)⁷ and hence, restrains thrombus arrangement and diminishes platelet accumulation. Aspirin as antiplatelet treatment is utilized to avoid and diminish the hazard of cardiovascular occasions. Aspirin is the foremost endorsed drug in antiplatelet treatment and cheaper than any other antiplatelet.

There are numerous trials have assessed the impacts of aspirin alone and in combination with P2Y₁₂ inhibitor in patients with ACS. In patients with intense coronary malady and patients with past coronary malady, antiplatelet treatment, Aspirin medicine diminished the result of any vascular occasion compared to fake treatment⁸. However, coronary injuries carry on like unsteady plaques and double antiplatelet combination (e.g. aspirin plus clopidogrel) has demonstrated to diminish cardiovascular passing, nonfatal MI, or stroke compared to aspirin alone in non-ST elevation ACS⁹.

Figure 1: Year of introduction of antiplatelet drugs to the clinical management of cardiovascular disease.

Aspirin has been demonstrated to decrease repeat stroke among patients with a later stroke or TIA (Farrell, et al., 1991; Altman, et al., 1994)¹⁰. In meta-analysis, aspirin medicine diminishes the chance of stroke by 15% compared with fake treatment in patients with past TIA or stroke (hazard proportion (RR), 0.85; 95% CI, 0.77-0.94)¹¹. Another think about by The SALT Collaborative Bunch (1991) moreover found Aspirin medicine alone decreased repetitive

stroke or death in patients with TIA or minor ischaemic stroke compared with placebo.

A time-course investigation of randomized trials found that Aspirin diminishes the six weeks hazard of repetitive ischaemic stroke by 60% (HR, 0.42; 95% CI, 0.32-0.55) compared with controls and diminishes debilitating or deadly ischaemic stroke by 70% (HR, 0.29; 95% CI, 0.20-

0.42). Within the to begin with six weeks after randomization in trials in auxiliary anticipation after TIA and ischaemic stroke, patients treated with aspirin moved to superior functional result (OR, 0.42; 95% CI, 0.26-0.70) ¹².

Antiplatelet treatment is shown in patients experienced coronary artery bypass joining (CABG) to anticipate major complication such as unite impediment and to avoid long-term cardiovascular occasions. Aspirin is fundamental to avoid platelet actuation and ought to be started some time recently experiencing surgery and proceed longterm treatment post-CABG ¹³. In a meta-analysis of 17 randomized trials, aspirin was essentially decreased the chances of graft occlusion compared with placebo (chances proportion (OR), 0.60; 95% CI, 0.51-0.71; $p < 0.0001$) ¹⁴.

Dipyridamole

Dipyridamole was presented within the early 1960s as a coronary vasodilator found that dipyridamole repressed adenosine take-up by platelet on platelet accumulation and soon few more considers found that dipyridamole hindered platelet conglomeration. Dipyridamole was at that point created as an antithrombotic operator.

Dipyridamole (PERSANTINE) may be a vasodilator that, in combination with warfarin, restrains embolization from prosthetic heart valves. Dipyridamole has small or no advantage as an antithrombotic medicate. In trials in which a regimen of dipyridamole additionally Aspirin was compared with Aspirin alone, dipyridamole given no extra advantageous impact ¹⁵.

A single consider proposes that dipyridamole also Aspirin decreases strokes in patients with earlier strokes or transitory ischemic assault. A detailing containing 200 mg of dipyridamole, in an extended-release shape, and 25 mg of Aspirin medicine (AGGRENOL) is accessible. Dipyridamole meddles with platelet work by expanding the cellular concentration of adenosine 3',5'-monophosphate (cyclic AMP). This impact is intervened by hindrance of cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterase and/or by bar of take-up of adenosine, which acts at adenosine A₂ receptors to fortify platelet adenylyl cyclase.

The only current prescribed use of dipyridamole is in combination with warfarin for postoperative essential prophylaxis of thromboemboli in patients with prosthetic heart valves.

Dipyridamole acts by increment nearby adenosine concentration additionally restrains intracellular phosphodiesterase (PDE) protein. By these two activities, intracellular cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) concentration expanded and anticipate platelet enactment and conglomeration ¹⁶.

Dipyridamole is a prodrug and irreversible inhibit PDE.

Ticlopidine

Ticlopidine was to begin with showcased in France in 1978 but the sedate was found few a long time prior by Dr Ferdinand Eloy. In 1972, he was trying to find unused anti-inflammatory drugs related to Tinoridine, a thienopyridine with anti-inflammatory and analgesics property. Whereas he was testing these unused compounds, none of the unused compounds had anti-inflammatory or pain relieving impact. Be that as it may, a few of these thienopyridine compounds appeared antiplatelet and antithrombotic properties. This can be where they found ticlopidine, one of the foremost dynamic thienopyridine compound ¹⁷.

Purinergic receptors react to extracellular nucleotides as agonists. Platelets contain two purinergic receptors, P₂Y₁ and P₂Y₁₂; both are GPCRs for ADP. The ADP-activated platelet P₂Y₁ receptor couples to the Gq-PLC-IP₃-Ca²⁺ pathway and actuates a shape alter and conglomeration. The P₂Y₁₂ receptor couples to G_i and, when enacted by ADP, restrains adenylyl cyclase, coming about in lower levels of cyclic AMP and subsequently less cyclic AMP-dependent hindrance of platelet actuation. Based on pharmacological thinks about, it shows up that both receptors must be invigorated to result in platelet actuation¹⁸, and restraint of either receptor is adequate to piece platelet actuation.

Ticlopidine (TICLID) could be a thienopyridine that inhibits the P₂Y₁₂ receptor. Ticlopidine could be a prodrug that requires transformation to the dynamic thiol metabolite by a hepatic cytochrome P450 protein. It is quickly retained and exceedingly bioavailable. It permanently inhibits the P₂Y₁₂ receptor by shaping a disulfide bridge between the thiol on the sedate and a free cysteine buildup within the extracellular locale of the receptor and in this way encompasses a delayed impact. Like Aspirin it incorporates a brief half-life with a long length of activity, which has been named "hit-and-run pharmacology" ¹⁹. Maximal hindrance of platelet accumulation isn't seen until 8 to 11 days after beginning treatment. In this way, "stacking measurements" of 500 mg in some cases are given to realize a more fast onset of activity. The usual dose is 250 mg twice per day. Inhibition of platelet aggregation persists for a few days after the drug is stopped.

Ticlopidine is specific and irreversibly represses the P₂Y₁₂ receptor and avoid platelet enactment. Ticlopidine may be a prodrug and once absorbed within the digestive tract, they metabolized within the liver to make dynamic metabolites ²⁰. In any case, the utilization of ticlopidine was constrained since of extreme hematological clutters and life undermining side impacts, counting leucopenia, neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, agranulocytosis, pancytopenia and thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura. This driven to the improvement of clopidogrel with an moved forward security profile. Ticlopidine has been assessed in three expansive trials of patients with cerebrovascular illness. In CATS (Canadian American Ticlopidine Study) trial, the hazard of stroke, MI or vascular passing was brought down in ticlopidine gather compare to fake treatment (10.8% vs 15.3% per year; relative hazard decrease (RRR), 30.2%; 95% CI, 7.5-48.3%) in patients who had maintained a thromboembolic stroke (Gent, et al., 1989) ²¹. Due to ticlopidine life-threatening side impact, ticlopidine is seldom utilized in current clinical practice.

Clopidogrel

Due to the life-threatening side impact of ticlopidine, the analysts attempted to explore for more steady and effective antiplatelet impact. They synthesized more than thousand ticlopidine analogs, and found dextrogyre (S)-isomer (clopidogrel) which is more dynamic and superior endured than ticlopidine ¹⁷. Clopidogrel was propelled in 1998 after 10 a long time improvement. Clopidogrel features a comparative component of activity as ticlopidine. It is specifically and irreversibly inhibit the P₂Y₁₂ receptor and avoid platelet activation. Clopidogrel is additionally a prodrug where it ought to metabolize within the liver to make dynamic metabolites²². Clopidogrel utilized was restricted to tall inter-individual changeability and potential of drug-drug interaction.

The most common side impacts are nausea, vomiting, and the runs. The foremost genuine is extreme neutropenia (supreme neutrophil check [ANC] <1500/mL), which

happened in 2.4% of stroke patients given the medicament amid premarketing clinical trials. Fatal agranulocytosis with thrombopenia has happened inside the primary 3 months of treatment; subsequently, visit blood tallies ought to be gotten amid the primary few months of treatment, with quick suspension of treatment ought to cell tallies decrease. Platelet tallies moreover ought to be checked, as thrombocytopenia has been detailed.

Ticlopidine has been appeared to avoid cerebrovascular occasions in auxiliary anticipation of stroke and is at slightest as great as Aspirin in this respect. It too diminishes cardiac occasions in patients with unsteady angina; in any case, its as it were FDA-approved sign is to diminish the chance of thrombotic stroke in patients who have experienced stroke forerunners, and in patients who have had a completed thrombotic stroke. Since ticlopidine incorporates a component of activity distinct from that of aspirin, combining the drugs can be anticipated to supply added substance or indeed synergistic impacts.²³

This shows up to be the case, and the combination has been utilized in patients experiencing angioplasty and stenting for coronary course malady, with a really moo recurrence of stent thrombosis happening over a brief, 30-day follow-up (<1%)²⁴. As ticlopidine is related with life-threatening blood dyscrasias and a generally tall rate of TTP, it is by and large saved for patients who are bigoted or unfavorably susceptible to Aspirin medicine or who have fizzled aspirin treatment.

The thienopyridine clopidogrel (PLAVIX) is closely related to ticlopidine and shows up to have a marginally more favorable harmfulness profile with less visit thrombocytopenia and leukopenia, in spite of the fact that thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura has been detailed²⁵. Clopidogrel could be a prodrug with a moderate onset of activity. The normal measurements is 75 mg per day with or without an starting stacking measurements of 300 mg. The drug is proportionate to aspirin within the auxiliary anticipation of stroke, and in combination with aspirin it shows up to be as successful as ticlopidine and aspirin.²⁶

It is utilized with aspirin after angioplasty and ought to be proceeded for at slightest 1 year²⁷. In one ponder, the combination of clopidogrel and Aspirin clearly was prevalent to Aspirin medicine alone; this finding recommends that the activities of the two drugs are synergistic, as can be anticipated from their unmistakable components of activity²⁸. The FDA-approved signs for clopidogrel are to decrease the rate of stroke, MI, and passing in patients with later myocardial dead tissue or stroke, set up peripheral blood vessel malady, or intense coronary disorder.²⁹

However, clopidogrel is preferred over ticlopidine, as clopidogrel has better tolerability and enhanced safety³⁰. There are many data to support the clinical benefit of clopidogrel in the treatment of CVD. For example, in clopidogrel versus aspirin in patients at risk of ischemic events (CAPRIE) study, a randomized trial, to evaluate the efficacy of clopidogrel versus aspirin monotherapy in the secondary prevention of atherosclerotic vascular diseases. This study involved 19,185 patients observed that patients treated with clopidogrel had an 8.7% reduction in the relative risk of ischaemic stroke, MI or vascular death compared with aspirin ($p=0.043$).

In the clopidogrel for high atherothrombotic risk and ischemic stabilization, management, and avoidance (CHARISMA) trial, a prospective, multicentre, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study compared the efficacy and safety of clopidogrel compared with placebo in

aspirin treated patients. The combination of clopidogrel and aspirin demonstrated similar efficacy to aspirin among patients at high risk for a cardiovascular event in reducing MI, stroke or death (RR, 0.93; 95% CI, 0.83-1.05; $p=0.22$)³¹. Clopidogrel, when given together with aspirin and fibrinolytic therapy has been shown to reduce the rate of death or re-disease without major increased bleeding risk following ST-elevation MI. Further, in the CURE trial, patients who presented within 24 hours after the onset of ACS were randomised to receive clopidogrel or placebo in addition to aspirin for 3 to 12 months. Compared to placebo, patients who were treated with clopidogrel had lower rates of death from cardiovascular causes, non-fatal MI or stroke (9.3 % vs 11.4 % in placebo)²⁸.

Intracoronary thrombosis is likely to happen taking after PCI, hence, Aspirin medicine and P2Y₁₂ inhibitors has been utilized to decrease the hazard of stent thrombosis²⁴. The combination of Aspirin medicine and ticlopidine has been appeared to decrease the dying rates and subacute stent impediment compared with an verbal anticoagulant³². Few a long time afterward, it was illustrated that clopidogrel and ticlopidine in combination with Aspirin have comparative viability in diminishing cardiac occasions and the security of clopidogrel is predominant compared with ticlopidine³⁰. As of late, there's prove that clopidogrel pre-treatment some time recently PCI essentially diminished the frequency of cardiac occasions. Major ponder discoveries of antiplatelet treatment for ACS are summarized.

For ACS patients treated with CABG, the combination of Aspirin also Clopidogrel had a lower rate of join impediment (RR, 0.59; 95% CI, 0.43-0.82; $p=0.02$) and inhospital or 30-day mortality (0.8% vs 1.9%; $p<0.0001$) compared to Aspirin alone³³. Within the TRITON-TIMI 38 trial, 346 CABG patients who were treated with prasugrel had a lower rate of passing compared to patients treated with clopidogrel (balanced OR, 0.26; 95% CI, 0.08-0.85; $p=0.025$)³⁴.

Clopidogrel monotherapy is demonstrated for auxiliary avoidance of stroke. Within the anticipation regimen for successfully dodging moment strokes trial, the viability and security between clopidogrel versus Aspirin additionally extended-release dipyridamole regimen were compared. No difference was found within the hazard of stroke repeat between clopidogrel and Aspirin also extended-release dipyridamole (ERDP) (HR, 1.01; 95% CI, 0.92-1.11)³⁵.

Within the clopidogrel in high-risk patients with intense non-disabling cerebrovascular occasions (CHANCE) ponder, the combination of Aspirin and clopidogrel versus Aspirin alone were compared among patients with TIA or minor ischaemic stroke. Within the to begin with 90 days, Aspirin medicine furthermore clopidogrel group had lower chance of stroke compared those within the Aspirin gather (8.2% vs 11.7%; danger proportion (HR), 0.68; 95% CI, 0.57-0.81; $p<0.0001$) without expanded chance of dying²³. Major think about discoveries of antiplatelet treatment taking after ischaemic stroke are summarized. On the other hand, clopidogrel has gotten to be another sensible alternative for those who is unfavorably susceptible to Aspirin medicine or incapable to endure combination Aspirin and dipyridamole.³⁶

Prasugrel

Prasugrel was found in 1993 by Japanese researchers. It is the third generation of thienopyridine. The gather tried a arrangement of hydropyridine compound and found a few of the compound appeared antithrombotic exercises. They found prasugrel which is more powerful than clopidogrel. Prasugrel was propelled in 2009 and endorsed by the Joined together State Nourishment and Sedate Organization (US

FDA). The component of activity of prasugrel is comparative to other thienopyridine.

Prasugrel has comparable viability and dangers of dying over clopidogrel in patients with unsteady angina or NSTEMI treated restoratively. In TRILOGY-ACS trial, compared with clopidogrel, prasugrel treatment was not related with altogether brought down rates of cardiovascular passing, MI or stroke in 7243 with unsteady angina or MI who did not experience PCI.³⁷

Prasugrel is another demonstrated antiplatelet utilized for the treatment of ACS after PCI. Within the TRITON-TIMI 38 trial, prasugrel was compared with Clopidogrel among Aspirin treated patients with ACS planned for PCI. The rates of ischaemic complications were diminished in prasugrel treated gather but the hazard of major dying was expanded.³⁸

Ticagrelor

Ticagrelor, the primary reversibly-binding verbal ADP receptor opponent, was propelled in 2010. It was started when agents seeking out for an simple of ATP, a compound known to restrain the ADP-induced platelet accumulation. A arrangement of powerful P2Y₁₂ receptors' enemy was recognized and found cangrelor. Be that as it may, cangrelor is intravenous, short-acting and no clinical viability was found. Advance improvement of P2Y₁₂ receptors adversary lead to distinguishing proof of specific P2Y₁₂ receptor adversary, ticagrelor.³⁹

Ticagrelor could be a non-thienopyridine operator. It is reversibly restrain the P2Y₁₂ receptor and anticipate platelet aggregation.⁴⁰ Ticagrelor isn't a prodrug, hence, it includes a quick onset of activity that can be accomplished inside 30 minutes taking after the stacking measurements.⁴¹ Ticagrelor has the most limited length of the antiplatelet impact taking after cessation and it has no hereditary variations of the CYP2C19 quality compared with clopidogrel.^{41,42}

Ticagrelor, in combination with Aspirin is additionally shown for the treatment of ACS. The PLATO trial, the viability and security of ticagrelor and clopidogrel was assessed. The discoveries appeared that among ACS patients treated with Aspirin, ticagrelor altogether diminished the rate of ischaemic complications compared with clopidogrel (9.8% vs 11.7%; HR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.77-0.92).⁴³ Ticagrelor, co-administered with low-dose Aspirin is additionally indicated for the treatment of post-ACS after PCI. Within the PLATO trial, among 11,289 patients who gotten a stent and treated with Aspirin medicine, ticagrelor versus Clopidogrel essentially diminished the rate of stent thrombosis (2.9% vs 3.8%; HR, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.62-0.95; p=0.01).⁴³ With this unused prove, ticagrelor is suggested over clopidogrel in post-ACS patients with PCI.⁴⁴

The utilize of ticagrelor in ACS patients with CABG was bolstered by the post-hoc examination of 1261 patients who experienced CABG inside 7 days of getting think about sedate treatment in PLATO. In this subgroup examination, the event of cardiac occasions at 1 year was comparable in ticagrelor and clopidogrel (HR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.60-1.16; p=0.29). In any case, add up to mortality for ticagrelor was diminished compared with clopidogrel (4.7% vs 9.7%; HR, 0.49; 95% CI 0.32-0.77; p<0.01).⁴⁵

Antithrombotic therapy

As coronary thrombosis is the major cause of acute coronary syndrome, antithrombotic treatment regimens are now routine.

Aspirin

Aspirin in a dosage of 75–325 mg day by day is suggested in all rules for all patients after an ACS, in any case of whether revascularisation has happened. Its low taken a toll and tall adequacy make it an appealing specialist to diminish the hazard of repeat of coronary thrombosis. In post-ACS patients, Aspirin medicine has been appeared to diminish major vascular occasions by 25%, with an outright chance diminishment of 35 vascular occasions per 1000 patients treated over 2years. An impediment with Aspirin treatment, indeed in moo dosages, is an increment within the chance of gastrointestinal side impacts.

A later meta-analysis calculated that the chances proportion for the chance of major gastrointestinal bleeding in aspirin versus non-aspirin clients was 1.55 (95% CI, 1.27–1.90). Observational considers recommend that dying complications are less with lower dosages of aspirin, but randomized assignment to low-dose (75–100 mg) versus standard-dose (101–325 mg) Aspirin medicine in combination with Clopidogrel appeared no contrasts in bleeding at 30 days. Enteric-coated forms of Aspirin medicine may have less unfavorable gastric impacts than buffered Aspirin, but it remains vague whether it is the enteric coating or the lower measurements that diminishes the hazard of gastric complications. Co-prescribing a proton pump inhibitor (PPI) diminishes the hazard of gastrointestinal dying, but the long-term cost-effectiveness of the combination with Aspirin remains farfetched.

P2Y₁₂ inhibitors and dual antiplatelet therapy

Dual antiplatelet treatment (DAPT; aspirin and a P2Y₁₂ inhibitor medicate) is presently suggested for conservatively overseen post-ACS patients in all guidelines.²⁻⁴ The Remedy think about, conducted about 15 a long time prior, appeared a clear part for DAPT in conservatively overseen ACS; patients treated with DAPT (clopidogrel and Aspirin) had less ensuing coronary occasions than patients treated with Aspirin medicine alone. At 12 months, the Remedy trial's conclusion point of myocardial dead tissue or cardiovascular passing was diminished by 20% (relative chance decrease, 0.80; 95% CI, 0.72–0.90; P < 0.001). This advantage came with a direct increment in major dying (relative chance, 1.38; P = 0.001).

All subsequent rules based on the Remedy trial information prescribe DAPT for conservatively overseen ACS. The perfect length of DAPT after an ACS scene without percutaneous coronary intercession (PCI) remains vague. Whereas there are continuous trials to look at the ideal length of DAPT in patients treated with PCI, the pertinence of these trial comes about to traditionalist administration isn't clear.⁴⁶

Table 1: Drug Dosage

Drug	Loading dose	Maintenance dosage
Clopidogrel	300 mg or 600 mg	75 mg daily
Prasugrel	60 mg	10 mg daily
Ticagrelor	180 mg	90 mg twice daily

International guidelines suggest the combination of clopidogrel with Aspirin for 12 months, as this was the treatment period inspected within the Remedy trial. Post-hoc survey of the occasions bends within the Remedy study appeared that the major advantage of clopidogrel furthermore aspirin over aspirin alone was within the to begin with 6 weeks after graduation of treatment, and there

have been no comparative studies to assess shorter or longer periods of treatment.

The benefits of longer-term DAPT over aspirin have not been affirmed. Concerns around resistance to clopidogrel in a few patients have driven to broad research into Clopidogrel resistance. "Clopidogrel resistance" is more accurately characterized as tall on-treatment platelet reactivity and, concurring to a few gauges, up to 30% of patients are non-responders or destitute responders to clopidogrel by this measure. However, later thinks about have appeared that dosing based on platelet responsiveness to Clopidogrel is unhelpful.⁴⁷

Like Aspirin, clopidogrel can increment the chance of gastrointestinal dying, and concomitant utilize of PPIs with clopidogrel has been closely inspected, as a few observational thinks about proposed that PPIs may meddled with the activity of clopidogrel by means of competition for the cytochrome P450 pathway within the intestine transport of the prodrug. Be that as it may, a well conducted randomized trial of omeprazole appeared no clinically noteworthy interaction with clopidogrel, and the foremost later meta-analyses have shown that an interaction between PPIs and Clopidogrel isn't critical for most patients. The prior perceptions of antagonistic impacts of the combination may have been due to PPI clients being more seasoned patients, who are at expanded chance of unfavorable cardiovascular occasions.

More current oral agents that repress the P2Y₁₂ receptor (ticagrelor, prasugrel) have as of late ended up accessible. Both ticagrelor and prasugrel are more compelling in decreasing consequent coronary occasions, but carry the next dying chance than clopidogrel. Most rules suggest that the more current operators are favored for most ACS (both ST-elevation myocardial localized necrosis and non-ST-elevation myocardial dead tissue) unless the chance of bleeding is intemperate. Prasugrel was more successful than clopidogrel within the TRITON-TIMI 38 trial in diminishing coronary occasions. In any case, this authoritative trial of prasugrel as it were included patients for whom the coronary life systems was known, and a coronary angiogram may not be accessible for patients whose ACS is overseen conservatively. Prasugrel was incapable for conservatively overseen ACS. Since of dying chance, care is required in more seasoned patients (> 80 a long time), and those who weigh beneath 60 kg or have renal disability. Double antiplatelet treatment with Aspirin and clopidogrel is the treatment of choice for diminishing the rate of major antagonistic cardiovascular occasions (MACE) in patients with non-ST-elevation intense coronary disorder (NSTEACS) especially those undergoin a percutaneous coronary intercession (PCI). Be that as it may, the helpful reaction to Aspirin, clopidogrel, or both specialists changes significantly.

Destitute reaction to clopidogrel, characterized as lower hindrance of platelet accumulation (IPA), is related to MACE happening at short-term¹⁰ and long-term. Hoisted post-treatment platelet reactivity (PPR) values have been utilized to recognize patients with NSTEACS who have experienced PCI and are at more noteworthy chance of MACE at 30 days. A few creators advocate that PPR could be a superior assess of atherothrombotic chance than IPA analysis, because IPA falls flat to consider last outright platelet reactivity.

CONCLUSION

Antiplatelet treatment is the foundation of auxiliary avoidance following an acute coronary syndrome (ACS) or ischaemic stroke, and antiplatelet specialists have been appeared to move forward clinical result in these conditions.

Be that as it may, in spite of the utilize of antiplatelet treatment in these populaces, cardiovascular occasions still happened. A few thinks about have been carried out to survey certain clinical perspectives when utilizing antiplatelet in patients who have endured ACS or ischaemic stroke. Antiplatelet treatment is regularly endorsed as a auxiliary avoidance medicine in arrange to anticipate repetitive occasion, be that as it may, there are patients who encounter a stroke while taking antiplatelet.

The study found that patients who changed their pre-existing antiplatelet treatment was not related with a lower chance of repeat stroke than proceeding on the same antiplatelet regimen. Patients who changed to a unused antiplatelet regimen was related with more ideal utilitarian, in spite of the fact that this perception can be due to perplexing at the standard. The comes about may have pertinence to the clinical hone, however, the choice of an antiplatelet operator ought to be individualized based on patient characteristics.

Guidelines recommend start of antiplatelet treatment inside 24 to 48 hours taking after intense stroke whereas confirmation of persistent or it must be dodged for 24 hours taking after thrombolytic treatment. The consider looks at whether early start (started on the same or taking after day after stroke) of antiplatelet treatment related with way better clinical results. The think about observed, in patients who have endured ischaemic stroke, early start of antiplatelet treatment was not related with superior results than afterward start. Besides, early start was related with an expanded hazard of bleedings. In spite of the fact that, the comes about could be appropriate to clinical practice, these discoveries got to be affirmed in randomized and bigger trials.

Interrupting or ceasing antiplatelet treatment has been already appeared to relate with expanded chance of cardiovascular occasions. Ischaemic stroke is commonly complicated by expanded hazard of repeat taking after intense occasion. Early avoidance and numerous approaches may well be advantageous for patients in arrange to move forward inability taking after a stroke. The discoveries in this proposal proposed those who took antiplatelet treatment some time recently a stroke, exchanging to a new antiplatelet regimen after ischaemic stroke might have distant better a much better and improved utilitarian result. In patients who endured ischaemic stroke, it is recommended suitable and clinically guided interferences to antiplatelet treatment may not put patients at significant expanded chance.

Suspension of DAPT is related by expanded chance of cardiovascular occasions. Distant a much better and improved understanding of this may permit procedures to moderate this chance. In this proposition, it is recommended to screen closely ACS patients who are at hazard i.e. elderly, those who gotten shorter DAPT term (less than 6 months) and those who were not treated with revascularization. This proves may well be valuable for clinicians in choice making or administration of DAPT for their patients. Moreover, this information recommends that ACS patients may advantage from longer term of DAPT. Taking after DAPT cessation, there were no contrasts of cardiovascular occasion chance when preceded either aspirin or clopidogrel monoantiplatelet treatment.

REFERENCES

1. Kirchhof P, Benussi S, Kotecha D, et al. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with EACTS [Internet]. Eur. Heart J. 2016; 37(38):2893-962. Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/37/38/2893/2334964>

2. CJ M, T V, R L, et al. Disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 291 diseases and injuries in 21 regions, 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* (London, England) [Internet] 2012; 380(9859):2197-223. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23245608/>
3. M D, A O, W C, M T. Balancing between bleeding and thromboembolism after percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with atrial fibrillation. Could triple anticoagulant therapy be a solution? *Postep w Kardiol interwencyjnej = Adv Interv Cardiol* [Internet] 2013; 9(3):234-40. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24570724/>
<https://doi.org/10.5114/pwki.2013.37501>
4. Miner J, Hoffhines A. The Discovery of Aspirin's Antithrombotic Effects. *Texas Hear Inst J* [Internet] 2007; 34(2):179. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26767085/>
<https://doi.org/10.14740/jocmr2443w>
5. Patrono C, Collier B, FitzGerald GA, Hirsh J, Roth G. Platelet-Active Drugs: The Relationships Among Dose, Effectiveness, and Side Effects: The Seventh ACCP Conference on Antithrombotic and Thrombolytic Therapy. *Chest* [Internet] 2004; 126(3):234S-264S. Available from: <http://journal.chestnet.org/article/S0012369215314938/fulltext>
https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.126.3_suppl.234S
6. R DV, C C, D M. Clinical Relevance of Anticoagulation and Dual Antiplatelet Therapy to the Outcomes of Patients With Atrial Fibrillation and Recent Percutaneous Coronary Intervention With Stent. *J Clin Med Res* [Internet] 2016; 8(2):153-61. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26767085/>
<https://doi.org/10.14740/jocmr2443w>
7. F C-L, MP R, SC K, et al. Cyclooxygenase inhibitors and the antiplatelet effects of aspirin. *N Engl J Med* [Internet] 2001; 345(25):1809-17. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11752357/>
<https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa003199>
8. C B, L B, R C, et al. Aspirin in the primary and secondary prevention of vascular disease: collaborative meta-analysis of individual participant data from randomised trials. *Lancet* (London, England) [Internet] 2009; 373(9678):1849-60. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19482214/>
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(09\)60503-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60503-1)
9. S Y, S R, S O, S A. Global burden of cardiovascular diseases: part I: general considerations, the epidemiologic transition, risk factors, and impact of urbanization. *Circulation* [Internet] 200; 104(22):2746-53. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11723030/>
<https://doi.org/10.1161/hc4601.099487>
10. Altman EI, Marco G, Varetto F. Corporate distress diagnosis: Comparisons using linear discriminant analysis and neural networks (the Italian experience). *J Bank Financ* 1994; 18(3):505-29. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4266\(94\)90007-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4266(94)90007-8)
11. ES J, SF L, CE W, MH S, BL A, LW D. A metaregression analysis of the dose-response effect of aspirin on stroke. *Arch Intern Med* [Internet] 199; 159(11):1248-53. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10371234/>
<https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.159.11.1248>
12. PM R, A A, Z C, HC D, B N, Z M. Effects of aspirin on risk and severity of early recurrent stroke after transient ischaemic attack and ischaemic stroke: time-course analysis of randomised trials. *Lancet* (London, England) [Internet] 201; 388(10042):365-75. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27209146/>
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(16\)30468-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30468-8)
13. PT O, FG K, DD A, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Circulation* [Internet] 2013; 127(4). Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23247304/>
14. SE F, C L, CD N, E C, GT C, BS G. Optimal antithrombotic therapy following aortocoronary bypass: a meta-analysis. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* [Internet] 1993; 7(4):169-80. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8481254/>
[https://doi.org/10.1016/1010-7940\(93\)90155-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/1010-7940(93)90155-5)
15. Collaborative meta-analysis of randomised trials of antiplatelet therapy for prevention of death, myocardial infarction, and stroke in high risk patients. *BMJ* [Internet] 2002; 324(7329):71-86. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11786451/>
<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.324.7329.71>
16. LA H, RA K. Mechanism of action of dipyridamole. *Thromb Res Suppl* [Internet] 1983;4(SUPPL. 1):39-46. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/6356465/>
17. Maffrand JP. The story of clopidogrel and its predecessor, ticlopidine: Could these major antiplatelet and antithrombotic drugs be discovered and developed today? *Comptes Rendus Chim* 2012; 15(8):737-43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crci.2012.05.006>
18. J J, SP K. Coactivation of two different G protein-coupled receptors is essential for ADP-induced platelet aggregation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* [Internet] 1998; 95(14):8070-4. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9653141/>
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.14.8070>
19. G H, HM J, D V, et al. Identification of the platelet ADP receptor targeted by antithrombotic drugs. *Nature* [Internet] 2001; 409(6817):202-7. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11196645/>
<https://doi.org/10.1038/35051599>
20. P S, JM H. Clopidogrel and ticlopidine: P2Y12 adenosine diphosphate-receptor antagonists for the prevention of atherothrombosis. *Semin Thromb Hemost* [Internet] 2005; 31(2):174-83. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15852221/>
<https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2005-869523>
21. M G, JA B, JD E, et al. The Canadian American Ticlopidine Study (CATS) in thromboembolic stroke. *Lancet* (London, England) [Internet] 1989; 1(8649):1215-20. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/2566778/>
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(89\)92327-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(89)92327-1)
22. Herbert JM, Savi P. P2Y12, a new platelet ADP receptor, target of clopidogrel. *Semin Vasc Med* 2003; 3(2):113-21. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2003-40669>
23. EL F, TY W, S L, et al. Warfarin use among older atrial fibrillation patients with non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction managed with coronary stenting and dual antiplatelet therapy. *Am Heart J* [Internet] 2013; 166(5):864-70. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24176442/>
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahj.2013.08.005>
24. DA L, HO L, D V, et al. Reduced fetal growth rate and increased risk of death from ischaemic heart disease: cohort study of 15 000 Swedish men and women born 1915-29. *BMJ* [Internet] 1998; 317(7153):241-5. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9677213/>
<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.317.7153.241>
25. Bennett CL, Connors JM, Carwile JM, et al. Thrombotic Thrombocytopenic Purpura Associated with Clopidogrel. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJM200006153422402> [Internet] 2009; 342(24):1773-7. Available from: <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJM200006153422402>
26. K G, K N, S S, et al. Anticoagulant and antiplatelet therapy in patients with atrial fibrillation undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. *Am J Cardiol* [Internet] 2014; 114(1):70-8. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24925801/>
27. Steinhubl SR, Berger PB, III JTM, et al. Early and Sustained Dual Oral Antiplatelet Therapy Following Percutaneous Coronary Intervention: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *JAMA* [Internet] 2002; 288(19):2411-20. Available from: <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/195532>
<https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.288.19.2411>

28. S Y, S R, S O, S A. Global burden of cardiovascular diseases: part I: general considerations, the epidemiologic transition, risk factors, and impact of urbanization. *Circulation* [Internet] 2001; 104(22):2746-53. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11723030/> <https://doi.org/10.1161/hc4601.099487>
29. CN H, ED P, SA P, et al. Use and Outcomes of Triple Therapy Among Older Patients With Acute Myocardial Infarction and Atrial Fibrillation. *J Am Coll Cardiol* [Internet] 2015; 66(6):616-27. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26248987/>
30. ME B, HJ R, P U, AH G. Double-blind study of the safety of clopidogrel with and without a loading dose in combination with aspirin compared with ticlopidine in combination with aspirin after coronary stenting: the clopidogrel aspirin stent international cooperative study (CLASSICS). *Circulation* [Internet] 2000; 102(6):624-9. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10931801/> <https://doi.org/10.1161/01.CIR.102.6.624>
31. DL B, KA F, W H, et al. Clopidogrel and aspirin versus aspirin alone for the prevention of atherothrombotic events. *N Engl J Med* [Internet] 2006; 354(16):1706-17. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16531616/>
32. ME B, V L, J B, et al. Randomized multicenter comparison of conventional anticoagulation versus antiplatelet therapy in unplanned and elective coronary stenting. The full anticoagulation versus aspirin and ticlopidine (fantastic) study. *Circulation* [Internet] 1998; 98(16):1597-603. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9778323/> <https://doi.org/10.1161/01.CIR.98.16.1597>
33. SV D, SM D, IK S, et al. Dual anti-platelet therapy after coronary artery bypass grafting: is there any benefit? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Card Surg* [Internet] 2013; 28(2):109-16. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23488578/> <https://doi.org/10.1111/jocs.12074>
34. PK S, LT G, JH L, et al. Mortality benefit with prasugrel in the TRITON-TIMI 38 coronary artery bypass grafting cohort: risk-adjusted retrospective data analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* [Internet] 2012; 60(5):388-96. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22633653/> <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2012.03.030>
35. RL S, HC D, S Y, et al. Aspirin and extended-release dipyridamole versus clopidogrel for recurrent stroke. *N Engl J Med* [Internet] 2008; 359(12):1238-51. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18753638/>
36. Kawai H, Watanabe E, Yamamoto M, et al. Major bleeding complications related to combined antithrombotic therapy in atrial fibrillation patients 12 months after coronary artery stenting. *J Cardiol* 2015; 65(3):197-202. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcc.2014.08.013>
37. Roe MT, Armstrong PW, Fox KAA, et al. Prasugrel versus Clopidogrel for Acute Coronary Syndromes without Revascularization. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1205512> [Internet] 2012; 367(14):1297-309. Available from: <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/nejmoa1205512>
38. Wiviott SD, Braunwald E, McCabe CH, et al. Prasugrel versus Clopidogrel in Patients with Acute Coronary Syndromes. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0706482> [Internet] 2009; 357(20):2001-15. Available from: <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa0706482> <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0706482>
39. Giezen JJJ van, Humphries RG. Preclinical and Clinical Studies with Selective Reversible Direct P2Y12 Antagonists. *Semin Thromb Hemost* [Internet] 2005; 31(02):195-204. Available from: <http://www.thieme-connect.com/products/ejournals/html/10.1055/s-2005-869525> <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2005-869525>
40. Husted S, Giezen JJJ Van. Ticagrelor: The First Reversibly Binding Oral P2Y12 Receptor Antagonist. *Cardiovasc Ther* [Internet] 2009; 27(4):259-74. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/j.1755-5922.2009.00096.x> <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-5922.2009.00096.x>
41. PA G, KP B, K B, et al. Randomized double-blind assessment of the ONSET and OFFSET of the antiplatelet effects of ticagrelor versus clopidogrel in patients with stable coronary artery disease: the ONSET/OFFSET study. *Circulation* [Internet] 2009; 120(25):2577-85. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19923168/> <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.912550>
42. L B, US T, R M, et al. Consensus and future directions on the definition of high on-treatment platelet reactivity to adenosine diphosphate. *J Am Coll Cardiol* [Internet] 2010; 56(12):919-33. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20828644/> <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2010.04.047>
43. L W, RC B, A B, et al. Ticagrelor versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med* [Internet] 2009; 361(11):1045-57. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19717846/>
44. L M, A S, J F, et al. Anticoagulant and/or antiplatelet treatment in patients with atrial fibrillation after percutaneous coronary intervention. A single-center experience. *Med Klin (Munich)* [Internet] 2008; 103(9):628-32. Available from: <https://europepmc.org/article/med/18813885> <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00063-008-1101-4>
45. Held C, Senblad N, Bassand JP, et al. Ticagrelor Versus Clopidogrel in Patients With Acute Coronary Syndromes Undergoing Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery: Results From the PLATO (Platelet Inhibition and Patient Outcomes) Trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011; 57(6):672-84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2010.10.029>
46. N S, G N, J M, et al. Aspirin and clopidogrel with or without phenprocoumon after drug eluting coronary stent placement in patients on chronic oral anticoagulation. *J Intern Med* [Internet] 2008; 264(5):472-80. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18624903/> <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2796.2008.01989.x>
47. G C, P A, P J. Anti-thromboembolic strategies in atrial fibrillation. *Cardiol J* [Internet] 2016; 23(2):211-23. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26779967/> <https://doi.org/10.5603/CJ.a2016.0004>