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**ABSTRACT**

Medicinal plants are an inexhaustible source of molecules. They are colonized by mycoendophytes, fungi living in their tissues without apparent symptoms. These fungi can provide secondary metabolites with biological activities. It is with this in mind that we are interested in a spontaneous plant from the dayas region (Laghouat, Algeria): *Peganum harmala* or Harmel, a toxic medicinal plant belonging to the family Zygophyllaceae. Our study consists in highlighting the antibacterial activity of four kinds of mycoendophytes: *Cladosporium*, *Alternaria*, *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* isolated from the leaves of this plant. The antibacterial activity is evaluated by the technique of the double disk diffusion on agar with respect to some Gram-positive bacterial strains. We have adopted two protocols for this purpose. For the first, the mycelia of all the mushrooms are deposited in the same petri dish. For the second, a single disc of the mycelium of a single species is deposited per box. The results obtained show a difference in the sensitivity of the bacterial strains to the bioactive substances of the mycoendophytes studied. The *Alternaria* genus showed the most significant activity. ANOVA performed between the mean diameters of the mycoendophyte inhibition zones and the antibiotic test disc. Chloramphenicol showed a highly significant difference between these two measurements. The Newman-Keuls test revealed a difference in the susceptibility of bacterial strains to the secondary metabolites of fungus mycoendophytes of *Peganum harmala* according to the two protocols used. The antibacterial effect is related to interactions between endophytic fungi and their host plant.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Medicinal plants like most plants are related to endophytic microorganisms that they harbor within their tissues (Strobel et al., 2002; Ellouz, 2011). Bacteria and microscopic fungi represent these endophytes (Schulz et al., 2006). They are an important component of the plant community (Arnold et al., 2001; Arnold et al., 2003) and can develop with it various interactions. Mycoendophytes can contribute to plant growth and defence and are an important source of bioactive metabolites with potential applications in agriculture, medicine and the food industry. During the last two decades, many compounds have been isolated from these endophytic fungi with various biological activities such as antimicrobial, anticancer, cytotoxic and insecticidal (Schulz et al., 2002; Zhao et al., 2010). In the present study, we were interested in a medicinal plant from the arid regions of Algeria: *Peganum harmala* (Harmel), a plant of the family Zygophyllaceae. It is a cosmopolitan species that grows spontaneously in semi-arid regions, steppes and sandy and saline soils (Darabpour et al., 2011; Hammiche et al., 2013; Niroumand et al., 2015). Our objective is to evaluate the antibacterial activity of fungus mycoendophytes of *Peganum harmala* of dayate Aiat (Laghouat, Algeria) by the technique of double diffusion on agar.
METHODS

1. Mycelia of fungus mycoendophytes of Peganum harmala

Four genera of mycoendophytes Cladosporium, Alternaria, Aspergillus and Penicillium were selected, identified and purified from the leaves of Peganum harmala, harvested in the arid Laghouat region, at dyaite Aiat in April 2015. Mycelia of fungus mycoendophytes are incubated for 8 days at room temperature.

2. Bacterial material

The Laboratory of Analytical Biochemistry and Biotechnologies of the University Mouloud Mammeri (Tizi Ouzou) provided the ATCC bacterial strains. T.Ouzid

3. Double diffusion technique on agar

We used the technique described by Mandeel et al. (1998), Devraju, and Satish (2011). The bacterial strains are revived by culture in BHI medium at 37 ° C. for 18 h before being used. The experiment is repeated three times and the diameters of the inhibition zones are measured in millimeters. The results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation and measurements. During the first screening, the discs of the four mycoendophytes were placed together in each dish inoculated with the bacterial strains, as well as the antibiotic disc. In addition, each mycoendophyte disc was placed alone in a Petri dish during the second screening.

4. Statistical analysis

An ANOVA statistical analysis using the Stat Box 6.40 software was carried out in order to highlight the differences between the measured inhibition zones of the different mycoendophytes with respect to each of the bacterial strains. The differences between the antibacterial activities of the four endophytic fungi, but also between them and the antibiotic used, are considered statistically significant when the value of p ≤ 0.05. As a result, a complementary test of multiple mean comparisons (Newman-Keuls) is performed to classify the fungi into homogeneous groups.

RESULTS

Inhibition of growth of bacterial strains by mycoendophytes

The different endophytic fungi showed more or less important antibacterial activity against at least one bacterial strain. The diameters of the zones of inhibition measured vary from 6.00 to 15.33 ± 3.32 mm in the two protocols (Tables I and II).

In the first protocol, we found that the genus Cladosporium did not have a significant inhibitory effect on the growth of different bacterial strains, which forced us to eliminate it from the next protocol. The genus Alternaria showed the best antibacterial activities. This genus showed an antibacterial power against the strain Staphylococcus aureus MU 50 mecA, on which the other mycoendophytes had no effect, with a rather large inhibition zone (14.33 ± 0.00 mm). It also showed significant activity against the two bacterial strains Bacillus cereus ATCC 10876 and Bacillus cereus ATCC 14579, with respective zones of inhibition of 12.00 ± 0.00 mm and 15.33 ± 3.32 mm. The averages of the zones of inhibition decreased in the second protocol vis-à-vis these last two, when the mushroom is deposited alone, the diameters of inhibition are respectively 9.00 ± 1.67 and 10.00 ± 0.00mm.

Statistical analysis showed a significant difference between the antibacterial activities of the four endophytic fungi but also between them and the antibiotic after two incubation times 18 hours and 48 hours.

Table I: Inhibition of growth of bacterial strains by mycoendophytes in the first screening (n = 3, mean ± standard deviation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Endophytic Fungi</th>
<th>Zone of inhibition (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enterococcus faecalis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cladosporium</td>
<td>7.00 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternaria</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspergillus</td>
<td>8.00 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillium</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATB</td>
<td>29.10 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In general, mycoendophytes excrete bioactive secondary metabolites in their culture media ( Gimenez et al., 2007). For example, 3-nitropopionic acid, excreted by certain endophytic fungi, exhibits significant antimycobacterial activity (Chomcheon et al., 2005).

Other authors have also shown that there is a correlation between the simultaneous presence of endophytic fungi together and their activities vis-à-vis bacterial strains. An important antibacterial effect given by the Alternaria genus has been observed. Indeed, Arivudainambi et al. (2014) reported that Alternaria spp. Endophytes exhibit a variety of bioactive metabolites, such as phytotoxins, cytotoxins and antimicrobial compounds. They produce alkaloids such as altersetine, which shows antibacterial activity against different pathogenic Gram-positive bacteria (Hellwig et al., 2002).
Our results showed that mycoendophytes react differently to bacterial strains, depending on whether they are present simultaneously or separately in the same petri dish, depending on the behavior and resistance of the bacterial strain. The fact that the averages of the zones of inhibition of endophytic fungi have slightly increased during the second protocol with respect to certain bacterial strains can be explained by the fact that fungi can hinder mutually the expression of their bioactive secondary metabolites when they are in the same medium due to the existence of allelopathic interactions between these endophytic fungi. These results are consistent with those of Tabuc (2007), where the simultaneous presence of several species of mycoendophytes in the same medium leads to a decrease in the production of mycotoxins by each of these and therefore a decrease in antibacterial power.

By comparing the activity of *Alternaria* in the two protocols, we found that the zones of inhibition are higher with respect to the two strains of *Bacillus cereus* in the first protocol, when it is with the other mycoendophytes in the same box. This can be explained by the possible synergies and interactions that may exist between certain fungi and that may have amplified their antibacterial power. The latter have expressed themselves better and have reacted positively against these bacterial strains. On the other hand, the genus *Alternaria* did not react in the first protocol to certain strains namely *Enteroocus fæcales* (ATCC 49452), *Staphylococcus aureus* (FRI S6) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (FRI 361). On the other hand, he spoke better in the second when he was alone against them. This may be related to the effects of metabolites excreted by different mycoendophytes, which interfered with each other’s activities and prevented the expression of bioactive metabolites of the genus *Alternaria*. We can also think that the sensitivity of bacterial strains to the bioactive metabolites of mycoendophytes can change according to the simultaneous presence of these.

In contrast, the four endophytic fungi showed no zone of inhibition on *S. aureus ATCC 43300, S. aureus FRI 326* bacterial strains. The *S. aureus* MU 50 mecA strain was susceptible only to the *Alternaria* genus with an area of 14.00 ± 0.0mm. Similarly for *S. aureus* FRI 137, which reacted only against the genus *Aspergillus*, with a weak zone of inhibition (0.00 ± 0.0 mm). This led us not to use these strains in the second manipulation. By comparing the activity of *Alternaria* in the two protocols, we found that the zones of inhibition are higher with respect to the two strains of *Bacillus cereus* in the first protocol, when it is with the other mycoendophytes in the same box. This can be explained by the possible synergies and interactions that may exist between certain fungi and that may have amplified their antibacterial power. The latter have expressed themselves better and have reacted positively against these bacterial strains. This may be related to the effects of metabolites excreted by different mycoendophytes, which interfered with each other’s activities and prevented the expression of bioactive metabolites of the genus *Alternaria*. We can also think that the susceptibility of bacterial strains to the bioactive metabolites of mycoendophytes and their concentrations can change according to the simultaneous presence of these and the antibiotic.

In contrast, the four endophytic fungi showed no zone of inhibition on *S. aureus ATCC 43300, S. aureus FRI 326* bacterial strains. The *S. aureus* MU 50 mecA strain was susceptible only to the *Alternaria* genus with an area of 14.00 ± 0.0mm. Likewise for the *S. aureus* FRI 137 strain, which had reacted only with respect to the genus *Aspergillus*, with a weak zone of inhibition (8.00 ± 0.0 mm).

The statistical analysis revealed 3 distinguish groups. The antibacterial behaviour of endophytic fungi and the antibiotic with respect to *S. aureus ATCC 25923* shows the formation of two groups A and B. All endophytic fungi belong to group A with zero or very low means (0.00 ± 0.00mm and 8.67 ± 0.00mm). Group B is represented by the antibiotic (18 and 48) with an average that varies between 32.33 ± 0.00mm and 35.44 ± 0.00mm. The same applies to the antibacterial behaviour of the fungi and the antibiotic with respect to *S. aureus FRI 326, S. aureus ATCC 43300, S. aureus MU 50 mec A, S. aureus FRI 137 and Bacillus cereus ATCC 10976*. Furthermore, the antibacterial behaviour of these fungi and the antibiotic towards *Enterococcus fæcales* ATCC 49452 *S. aureus FRI 56, S. aureus FRI 361 and Bacillus cereus ATCC 14579* indicates the formation of three groups A, B and C. All endophytic fungi belong to Group A with zero or very low means. Group B is represented by the fungi which have created an inhibition zone which varies between 8.67±0.00 and 15±0.00. Group C is represented by the antibiotic (18 and 48) with an average that varies between 29.11±0.00mm and 35.11±0.00mm.

**CONCLUSION**

The endophytic fungi showed a more or less important antibiotic effect, depending on the bacterial strain and conditions. The results obtained show that among the endophytic fungi studied, the genus *Alternaria* is one that has shown a broad spectrum of activity with respect to the bacterial strains used. Thus, it exhibited significant antibacterial activity on Gram-positive bacteria: *Enterococcus fæcales* ATCC 49452, *Bacillus cereus* ATCC10976, *Bacillus cereus* ATCC 14579, *Staphylococcus aureus* FRI 361, *Staphylococcus aureus* FRI 56 and was the only one to have significant against *Staphylococcus aureus* MU 50 mecA. Strains of *Bacillus cereus* were the most sensitive, so all the fungi tested reacted to it, as well as *Enterococcus fæcales* ATCC 49452. It also appears that the presence of mycoendophytes together or separately against each bacterial strain influences their activities and their ability to produce antibacterial compounds, either positively or negatively. The incubation time is also to be taken into consideration. An understanding of the synergies and interactions that may exist between endophytic fungi and their ability to coexist together in the same plant is necessary, in order to understand the mechanism that allowed mycoendophytes to colonize a plant known for its toxicity (*Peganum harmala*), to synthesize secondary metabolites and to establish interactions with this plant.
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