Prevalence of impaired renal function in patients of liver cirrhosis with or without spontaneous bacterial peritonitis
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ABSTRACT

Background: Renal Impairment (RI) is a common complication in patients with liver cirrhosis and ascites. Spontaneous Bacterial Peritonitis (SBP) a complication in cirrhosis further aggravates and worsens the scenario. Blood urea nitrogen (BUN)/creatinine ratio (BCR) is one of the common laboratory tests used to distinguish prerenal azotemia (PR) from acute tubular necrosis and proves to be handy in absence of other tests.

Objective: To find the prevalence of renal impairment in the patients of liver cirrhosis with or without SBP.

Design and Setting: Observational, prospective, single centre study.

Methods: Cirrhotic patients with SBP or low ascitic fluid protein were enrolled. RI was considered if the serum creatinine or urea or both were above the reference range. BCR was obtained for each patient and divided based on BCR <20 and BCR>20. Assessment of variability of BCR values was done by further dividing them into eight subgroups (<10, 10–15, 15–20, 20–25, 25–30, 30–35, 35–40 and >40).

Results: 34 patients were enrolled and divided into two groups. Group A with 22 patients with SBP and group B with 12 patients with low ascitic fluid protein. Group A had 77.27% patients with RI and group B had 58.33%. Majority of the patients had BCR>20 group denoting a RI of PR type. Three patients had BCR>40 indicating towards a probable gastrointestinal haemorrhage.

Conclusion: This study gives an idea about the common occurrence of renal impairment in the patients of liver cirrhosis and ascites which needs early intervention to improve the prognosis.
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INTRODUCTION

Cirrhosis of liver is a late stage complication due to fibrosis of the liver which can be due to several liver diseases, most commonly due to hepatitis and chronic alcoholism. With each insult to the liver, it tries to repair itself and with continuous damage and repair, scar tissue forms. With propagation of liver damage, the scarring increases and leads to cirrhosis which turns out to be irreversible.1 Patients with cirrhosis are at a very high risk of developing various complications which ultimately leads to a decreased life expectancy.2 Cirrhosis in presence of its associated complications has turned out to be a leading cause of death in present scenario. The common complications associated with cirrhosis are esophagal or other varices, ascites, hepatic encephalopathy, portal hypertension, hepatocellular carcinoma, hepatorenal syndrome, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis, and coagulation disorders.3 Renal failure is a common complication in these patients and is associated with increased mortality and morbidity in patients with cirrhosis.4 Literature search has revealed that the prevalence of acute kidney injury (AKI) in hospitalized patients with cirrhosis is estimated to be approximately 20–50%.5 Hepatorenal syndrome (HRS) is a type of (AKI) which occurs...
due to renal vasoconstriction and hypoperfusion as a result of extensive splanchnic vasodilatation. There is a strong evidence that HRS is also caused because of increased circulating proinflammatory cytokines like TNF-α and Interleukins. Hence, the present diagnostic criteria includes spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP) as a trigger event in the development of HRS. The patients of cirrhosis with ascites with a previous episode of SBP or low ascitic albumin levels (less than 1.5 g/dl) are at significant higher risk of developing SBP. Thus, an early diagnosis and treatment of SBP plays a crucial role. AKI in cirrhosis worsens the prognosis of the patient so early diagnosis and intervention is of utmost importance for effective management and improved outcome. The renal impairment can be diagnosed by various criteria like RIFLE (Risk Injury Failure Loss End-stage renal disease) criteria and AKIN (Acute Kidney Injury Network) criteria.

The novel classification system, AKI in cirrhosis is defined as an increase in serum creatinine of 0.3 mg/dl within 48 h, or 50% or 1.5-fold from baseline within 7 days. The International Club of Ascites (ICA) modified this new definition of AKI in cirrhosis, called ICA-AKI which was based on the percentage increase of serum creatinine from baseline and the peak value of serum creatinine during hospitalization. Early recognition of the cause of AKI, particularly differentiating prerenal azotemia (PRA) and acute tubular necrosis (ATN) is considered a critical clinical strategy because fluid resuscitation can improve PRA but can worsen ATN.

The blood urea nitrogen (BUN)/creatinine ratio (BCR) is one of the common laboratory tests used to distinguish PRA and ATN. Liver failure can be associated with paradoxically near-normal values of both BUN (due to decline in urea production by the liver) and serum creatinine (due to muscle wasting), despite of a significant decline in renal function manifested by decreased Glomerular Filtration Rate (GFR).

The causes of AKI can be classified as: prerenal (reduced renal perfusion or hypovolemia), intrinsic renal (glomerular or tubular) and postrenal. The normal reference range of BCR is around 8-15 and the most commonly used threshold to interpret increased BCR is 20. For BCR >20 it is attributed to prerenal type whereas with BCR <20 can be due to renal or postrenal type. This elevation in BCR is considered if the serum creatinine (0.7-1.2 mg/dl for males and 0.5-0.9 mg/dl in females by Jaffe kinetic method) or urea (<50 mg/dl by Urease GLDH method) or both were above the reference range. We converted the plasma urea values into BUN values using the formula: Blood urea nitrogen × 2.14 = blood urea

The BUN values were further utilized to obtain the BCR which is given by formula: BCR= BUN (mg/dl)/Creatinine(mg/dl)

We further a subgroup analysis and divided the patients on the basis of BCR criteria to with BCR <20 and BCR>20. To assess the variation of BCR values more thoroughly, they were further divided into eight subgroups according to BCR (<10, 10–15, 16–20, 21–25, 26–30, 31–35, 36–40 and >40).

**Statistical analysis**

The data was entered in MS Excel and was analyzed using statistical software SPSS version 20. The demographic data was presented as Mean + Standard deviation. The groups were compared using student’s unpaired t-test. Chi square test and Mann Whitney U Test were used to compare the data with non-normal distribution. A p value of <0.05 was considered significant.

**RESULTS**

A total of 34 patients were enrolled in the study who satisfied the inclusion criteria. They were further divided into two groups. 22 patients with diagnosed SBP were assigned Group A (SBP) and 12 patients with low ascitic fluid protein were assigned Group B (LA). The demographic data and blood parameters of the patients are summarized in the table 1.

| Table 1: Demographic variables and blood parameters of the patients |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Age, Years (Mean ± SD) | Group A | 51.86 ± 10.16 | 42.67 ± 10.93 | p value=0.71 |
| Sex, M/F               | 20/2     | 12/0        |              |              |
| Male, n(%)             | 90%      | 100%        |              |              |
| Serum Urea (mg/dL)     | 78.95±34.8 | 66.69±28.07 | p value=0.44 |
| Serum Creatinine (mg/dL)| 1.44±0.60 | 1.35±0.32  | p value=0.75 |
All the parameters were equally matched as shown in the table 1.

Both the groups were analyzed for assessment of renal impairment on the basis of serum urea and creatinine values and it was observed that there was no significant difference (p=0.24) between the two groups. Results are summarized in the table 2.

### Table 2: Assessment of renal impairment among the patients of liver cirrhosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Total Patients</th>
<th>Patients with Renal Impairment</th>
<th>Percentage of Patients with Renal Impairment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A (SBP)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>77.27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B (LA)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>58.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>70.58%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SBP= Spontaneous Bacterial Peritonitis, LA= low Ascitic fluid albumin

The plasma urea was converted into BUN (mg/dl) for calculation of BCR in both the groups. Both the groups were further analyzed on the basis of BCR and further subdivided into BCR<20 and BCR>20. Our study showed that majority of the patients were in the BCR>20 group which denotes a renal impairment of prerenal type. The results are summarized in table 3.

### Table 3: Table summarizing the patients on the basis of BUN:Creatinine Ratio (BCR)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BCR&lt;20</th>
<th>BCR&gt;20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total patients</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On further subdividing all the patients of both group on the basis of variable BCR (<10, 10–15, 16–20, 21–25, 26–30, 31–35, 36–40 and >40) we found majority of the patients (12) in the BCR= 16-20 range. Seven patients in 21-25 range, six in 26-30 range, three in 10-15 range, three in >40, two in 36-40 and one in 31-35 range. The results are summarized in figure no. 1.
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**Figure 1: Figure summarizing the variability and distribution of BCR among patients**

**DISCUSSION**

Our study was conducted on 34 patients of liver cirrhosis with ascites having SBP or low ascitic fluid albumin to determine the prevalence of renal impairment in these patients. The demographic and blood parameters were matched. In the present study almost 70.58% of the patients had renal impairment which was denoted by elevated serum creatinine and/or urea values. Previous studies have shown that AKI has an estimated prevalence of about 20-50% among hospitalized patients with cirrhosis.4,24-27 The burden of renal impairment in patients with SBP was 77.27% which was more as compared to the patients without SBP (58.33%) which can be because of more deteriorating condition of the patient with SBP. Previous studies have shown about 33-34% of patients with SBP are prone to develop renal failure.25,29 In our study we found an increased prevalence of renal impairment based on deranged renal parameters but could not ascertain it as a renal failure.

On further classifying the patients on the basis of BCR, majority of the patients were in the BCR>20 group which shows they had a renal impairment of prerenal type which could be explained by the fact that there is effective decrease in blood volume in patients with cirrhosis and ascites leading to compromised renal perfusion.30,31 On subdividing the patients on the basis of BCR we found majority of the patients in the BCR=16-20 group which mostly denotes an
intrarenal pathology leading to impaired renal function. However we also found 3 patients who had BCR>40 which indicates towards a concealed or obvious gastrointestinal hemorrhage somewhere in the gut which is quite common in these patients of cirrhosis with ascites. 20-23

Though our study results indicates more towards prerenal type of injury, but we could not assure the fact as BCR criteria is not a precise criterion to diagnose renal injury. There are other superior criteria like RIFLE and AKIN which are more reliable as compared to BCR. Small sample size is another limitation in our study. However; our study gives an idea about the common occurrence of renal impairment in the patients of liver cirrhosis and ascites.
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