Comparative study on prophylactic antibiotic versus empirical antibiotic in prevention of surgical site infection

S Rajarajan 1*, Sreelekshmi Devi TH 2, Neeshma Mariam Simon 2, K.R. Navin Shankar 3, V. Ganesan 4

1 Professor, Department of pharmacy practice, The Erode College Of Pharmacy And Research Institute, Erode, Tamil Nadu, India
2 Pharm D, The Erode College Of Pharmacy And Research Institute Erode, Tamil Nadu, India
3 Government headquarters District Hospital, Tirpur, Tamil Nadu, India
4 Department of Pharmaceutics, The Erode College Of Pharmacy And Research Institute, Erode, Tamil Nadu, India.

ABSTRACT

The main aim of the study was to compare the single dose prophylactic antibiotic versus empirical postoperative antibiotics in prevention of surgical site infection. The study was a prospective observational study conducted over a period of 8 months. A total of 100 number of surgery cases were selected randomly, to groups of 50 each. The study group received a single dose of antibiotic preoperatively while the control group received 3 to 5 days of empirical antibiotic therapy. Data analysis and statistical analysis was done with the help of graph pad prism trial version software. Student t test was carried out for paired analysis to find P value. There was no significant association of surgical site infection, and other complications. The hospital stay of patients, cost to the patients, and number of antibiotics used in patients were significantly more. The single dose prophylactic antibiotics are better than empirical post operative therapy in reducing the hospital stay and hospital cost to patients. Since the complete eradication of surgical site infection is not possible, only the reduction in infection rate can be achieved to a minimal level.
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INTRODUCTION

The surgical site infection is a common post operative complication that develops after 30 days of the operation. The surgical site infection affects the skin and subcutaneous tissue of the incision and deep soft tissue of the incision and any part of the anatomy. Clinical features of the surgical site infection depend upon the skin surface, the nature of the infecting organism and host resistances. The signs of infections are heat, redness, swelling, pain and loss of function where the site in which the invasive procedure took place. During the local phase of infection the macrophages unable to phagocytosing all dead cells resulting there may be chance of bacterial growth in surrounding area when systemic phase of infection occurs the micro organism eventually invade the blood stream and reaches into distant organ. The toxins produced by the microorganism invade into the host and damage the host tissue. The wound healing process taken place by a set of pathway like inflammatory phase, fibroblastic phase and remodelling phase.

Due to the fear of occurrence of the surgical site infection post operatively, many surgeons prescribe antimicrobials for a period of 7-10 days for even clean cases. This may lead to more expense to the patients and also chances to get hospital acquired infections. For the prevention of surgical site infection after clean surgeries usually the antibiotics are not necessary but some studies provide evidence that antibiotics were used irrationally for the treatment of the surgical site infection by giving direct prophylactic antibiotic therapy before the surgery will reduce the risk of both wound infections and complication due to infection. The prophylactic antibiotic administration minimizes the risk for the infection. It should be given to all clean contaminated cases. The therapeutic antibiotics are needed to the contaminated and dirty wounds. Selection of antibiotics for the prevention of surgical site infection based on the pathogen isolated. It must be cost effective and safe to the...
patient. The first and second generation antibiotics are commonly used.

Figure 1: Schematic representation of the anatomical classification of surgical-site infections

In 2017, Bengaru H et al; showed that single dose prophylactic should be sufficient in case of laproscopic appendectomy for simple uncomplicated non-perforated acute appendicitis. A controlled clinical trial done by ChuonZong in 2015, on the effectiveness of antibiotic prophylaxis before skin incision and results demonstrate that antibiotic prophylaxis before skin incision and after umbilical cord clamping were equivalent for elective caesariandelivery.

The aim of the study was to compare the single dose prophylactic antibiotic versus empirical post operative antibiotics in prevention of the SSI. An this prospective observational study was carried out with an objective of study the efficacy of single dose prophylactic antibiotic versus both pre operative and post operative antibiotics in reducing SSI and compare the length of the hospital stay of the patients in both groups.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study is a prospective observational study conducted in Govt. headquarters hospital Tirupur from October 2017 to August 2018. A predesigned structured 'Proforma' is used to collect the relevant data including; Patient's demographic profile, diagnosis and details of the antimicrobial drugs prescribed namely its name, strength, dose, route to be taken and frequency. The study population includes the patients in Government head quarters hospital Tirupur. A total of 100 number of surgery cases were selected randomly, to groups of 50 each. The study group received a single dose of antibiotic preoperatively while the control group received 3 to 5 days of empirical antibiotic therapy.

Study group: Patients received a single dose of antibiotics half hour preoperatively.

Control group: Patients received post operative antibiotics after surgery for about 5-10 days.

Inclusion criteria
1. Clean and clean contaminated cases in department of general surgery and gynaecology. (Local, regional, and general anaesthesia cases.)
2. Including both genders.

Exclusion criteria
1. Contaminated cases are excluded.
2. Those patients who do not consent are excluded.
3. Patients below 10 yrs age were excluded.
4. Pregnant patients were excluded.
5. Emergency cases were excluded.

Data analysis and statistical analysis was done with the help of graph pad prism trial version software. Student t test was carried out for paired analysis to find P value.

RESULTS

Gender:

Table 1: Summarizes the gender wise comparison of control and study group. Out of total patients (100), 20 patients (5%) were males and 30 patients (16.66%) were females in control group. 33 patients (18.18%) were males and 17 patients (58.82%) were females.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Percentage %</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Percentage %</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>20(1)</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>33(6)</td>
<td>18.18%</td>
<td>0.8591 (NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>30(5)</td>
<td>16.66%</td>
<td>17(1)</td>
<td>58.82%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SSI:
Table 2: Summarizes the comparison of patients with SSI, out of 50 patients in control group, 5 patients (10%) had SSI and 45 patients (90%) had no SSI. In study group out of 50 patients, 6 patients (10%) developed SSI and 44 patients (90%) not with SSI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SSI</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Percentage %</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Percentage %</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>(NS)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hospital stay

Table 3: summarizes the hospital stay of patients in both control and study group (100). In control group out 50 patients, 6 patients (12%) were stayed in hospital about 1-3 days, 24 patients (48%) were stayed in hospital about 4-7 days, 20 patients (40%) were stayed in hospital more than 7 days. In study group out of 50 patients, 42 patients (84%) were stayed in hospital about 1-3 days, 6 patients (12%) were stayed in hospital about 4-7 days, 2 patients (4%) were stayed in hospital more than 7 days.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hospital stay</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Percentage %</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Percentage %</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-3 days</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>0.04(*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-7 days</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>0.02(*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;7 days</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0.0198(*)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Gender Wise Comparison n-(100)

Figure 3: Comparison of patients with ssi n-(100)

Figure 4: Hospital stay of patients n-(100)
Number of antibiotic used in patients

Table 4: Summarizes the number of antibiotics used in patients (100). In control group 46 patients (92%) were used cephalosporin antibiotics, 39 patients (78%) were used aminoglycoside antibiotics, 6 patients (12%) were used fluoroquinolones, 32 patients (64%) were used antihelminthetics antibiotics, 2 patients (4%) were used penicillins.

In study group 41 patients (82%) were used cephalosporin antibiotics, 29 patients (58%) were used aminoglycoside antibiotics, 20 patients (40%) were used fluoroquinolones, 1 patient (2%) were used antihelminthetics antibiotic, 1 patient (2%) were used penicillin antibiotics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic used</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Percentage %</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Percentage %</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cephalosporins</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>0.0225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aminoglycosides</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluroquinolones</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antihelminthetics</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillins</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

A surgical site infection is an infection that occurs after surgery in the part of the body where the surgery took place. Surgical site infections can sometimes be superficial infections involving the skin only. Other surgical site infections are more serious and can involve tissues under the skin, organs, or implanted material.

It is a prospective observational study done in surgical ward. Totally 100 patients were taken in this study and divided into two groups; 50 patients of control group and another 50 of study group. Patients in control group treated with both pre operative and post operative antibiotics. While patients in study group treated with pre operative antibiotics only.

The aim of the study was to compare the single dose prophylactic antibiotic versus empirical post operative antibiotic in the prevention of surgical site infection.

In this study there was no significant difference in age (p value- 0.8103) and gender (p value- 0.8591) and this was also done by Bangaru et al. In this BMI (0.4681) has no association with SSI it was also found in Bangaru et al. studies.

There is no significant association is present for complications like pain (0.9729), swelling (0.9691), wound discharge (0.9674), complications occurred in both study group and control group was more or less same. The same results were observed in the study of Thejeswi et al. 2012.

There was no significant association of surgical site infection (0.400), surgical site infection occurred in both groups are same manner this same findings was also found by Rejab et al, 2012.

The grades of infection (P value- 0.8167) occurred in both groups were shown no significant difference. A study conducted by Bangaru et al, 2017 has shown the similar findings.

The duration of postoperative hospital stay in control group is more significant (1-3 days- P value- 0.04(*), 4-7 days- 0.02(*), >7days 0.0198(*), a study conducted by Shah et al. 2015 shown the similar findings.

The cost to the patients in control group is significantly more. This might be due long hospital stay in control group. The study findings were observed Shah et al 2015 studies.

In our study the number of antibiotics used (p value- 0.0225) in control group is more than that of in study group. Wanjare VS 2014 showed that number of antibiotics is more used in post operative patients, than patient taking preoperative antibiotics alone.

In this study we can found that an economical advantage in using pre-operative prophylactic antibiotic than post operative empirical therapy. The patients in both groups showed more or less same complications. Short term administration of antibiotic minimizes the risk of antibiotic resistance in patients, so it is more effective than using antibiotic for a longer period of time.

Its misuse not only affects the individual patient but also affect the hospital environment. The use of single dose prophylactic antibiotic may be limited to 1-2 doses of a
suitable agent pre-operatively and never more than 24 hours.

CONCLUSION

Surgical site infection is one of the most common post-operative complication which increases the risk of post-operative morbidity and mortality, increases hospital stay and hospital cost to patients. Our study concludes that single dose prophylactic antibiotics are better than empirical post-operative therapy in reducing the hospital stay and hospital cost to patients. Since the complete eradication of surgical site infection is not possible, only the reduction in infection rate can be achieved to a minimal level.
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